arXiv:0907.0934v2 [gr-qc] 16 Dec 2009

ON THE POINCARE GAUGE THEORY OF GRAVITATION

S. A. Ali[1], C. Cafaro[2], S. Capozziello[3], Ch. Corda[4]
[1]*Department of Physics, State University of New York at Albany,*
1400 Washington Avenue, Albany, NY 12222, USA
[2]*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Camerino, I-62032 Camerino, Italy*
[3]*Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche, Università di Napoli "Federico II" and INFN Sez. di Napoli,*
Compl. Univ. di Monte S. Angelo, Edificio G, Via Cinthia, I-80126, Napoli, Italy
[4]*Associazione Scientifica Galileo Galilei,Via Pier Cironi 16, I-59100 Prato, Italy*

We present a compact, self-contained review of the conventional gauge theoretical approach to gravitation based on the local Poincaré group of symmetry transformations. The covariant field equations, Bianchi identities and conservation laws for angular momentum and energy-momentum are obtained.

PACS numbers: Gravity (04.); Gauge Symmetry (11.10.-z); Riemann-Cartan Geometry (02.40.-k).

I. INTRODUCTION

From the viewpoint of Classical physics, our spacetime is a four-dimensional differential manifold. In special relativity, this manifold is Minkwoskian spacetime M_4. In general relativity, the underlying spacetime is curved so as to describe the effect of gravitation. Utiyama (1956) [1] was the first to propose that general relativity can be seen as a gauge theory based on the local Lorentz group $SO(3,\, 1)$ in much the same manner Yang-Mills gauge theory (1954) [2] was developed on the basis of the internal isospin gauge group $SU(2)$. In this formulation, the Riemannian connection is obtained as the gravitational counterpart of the Yang-Mills gauge fields. While $SU(2)$ in the Yang-Mills theory is an internal symmetry group, the Lorentz symmetry represents the local nature of spacetime rather than internal degrees of freedom. The equivalence principle asserted by Einstein for general relativity requires local spacetime structure be identified with Minkowski space possessing Lorentz symmetry. In order to relate local Lorentz symmetry to curved spacetime, we need to solder the local (tangent) space to the external (curved) space. The soldering tools are the so-called tetrad fields. Utiyama regarded the tetrads as objects given *a priori*. Soon after, Sciama (1962) [3] recognized that spacetime should be endowed with torsion in order to accommodate spinor fields. In other words, the gravitational interaction of spinning particles required a modification of the Riemannian geometry of general relativity to be non-Riemannian; that is, curved space with torsion. Although Sciama used the tetrad formalism for his gauge-like handling of gravitation, his theory fell short in treating tetrad fields as gauge fields. Kibble (1961) [4] made a comprehensive extension of Utiyama's gauge theory of gravitation by showing that local Poincaré symmetry $SO(3,\, 1) \rtimes T\,(3,\, 1)$ ($\rtimes$ represents the semi-direct product) can generate a space with torsion as well as curvature. The gauge fields introduced by Kibble's scheme include the tetrads as well as the local affine connection. There has been a variety of gauge theories of gravitation based on different local symmetry groups [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16]. In this review, mainly following Kibble's approach, we demonstrate how gravitation can be formulated from the gauge theoretical point of view.

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2, the Euler-Lagrange equations are obtained by requiring invariance of the action integral under variation of coordinates. In Section 3, the conservation laws of energy-momentum and angular momentum are obtained from the vanishing variation of the Lagrangian density under global Poincaré transformations. Invariance of the Lagrangian density under local Poincaré transformations is considered in Section 4, where it is found that invariance is preserved provided one introduces gauge fields with components $e_{\mu}^{\ i}$ and $\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma}$, where the former is interpreted as tetrads (which set the local coordinate frame) and the latter as local affine connections defined with respect to the tetrad frame. In Section 5, with the aid of tetrads and local affine connections, the scheme for manipulating vectors and spinor valued fields is developed. The explicit form of the curvature and torsion of the underlying spacetime manifold is obtained in Section 6. In Section 7, the equation of motion for the spinor as well as the field equations for gravity is derived using a standard variational calculus. Our conclusions are presented in Section 8.

Before proceeding to the main discussion, we introduce the notation to be used throughout the article. The metric in Minkowskian spacetime M_4 is denoted by $\eta_{ij} = e_i \cdot e_j$ $(i,\, j = 0,\, 1,\, 2,\, 3)$ with $\eta_{00} = -\eta_{11} = -\eta_{22} = -\eta_{33} = 1$ and $\eta_{ij} = 0$ for $i \neq j$. The orthonormal (Lorentz) basis vectors e_i are defined by $e_i := \partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$. The metric of curved spacetime is given by $g_{\mu\nu} = e_\mu \cdot e_\nu$ $(\mu,\, \nu = 0,\, 1,\, 2,\, 3)$, where $e_\alpha = e_{\alpha}^{\ i}\,(x)\, e_i$. The quantities $e_{\sigma}^{\ i}\,(x)$ are called tetrads. The tetrads are coefficients of the dual (1-form) basis non-holonomic co-vectors $\vartheta^a\,(x) = e^{a}_{\ \gamma}(x)dx^\gamma$. The inverse of

$e_i{}^{\mu}$ is denoted by $e^i{}_{\mu}$ and satisfies the following orthogonality relations

$$e^i{}_{\mu} e_i{}^{\nu} = \delta_{\mu}{}^{\nu}, \quad e^i{}_{\mu} e_j{}^{\mu} = \delta^i{}_j. \tag{1}$$

The tetrads constitute transformation matrices that map from local Lorentz (with non-holonomic coordinates x^a) to world (with holonomic coordinates x^{μ}) bases, i.e., $v^{\alpha} = e_i^{\alpha} v^i$ with $v^i = v^{\alpha} e_{\alpha}^i$. The components $e_{\alpha}^{\ i}(x)$ and $e_i^{\ \alpha}(x)$ transform as covariant and contravariant vectors (under the Poincaré group) of the frame x^{μ}, if and only if the rotations $\partial_{[\mu} e_{\lambda]}^{a}$ vanish at all points (the square brackets denote anti-symmetrization). The equations $\partial_{[\mu} e_{\lambda]}{}^{i} = 0$ are the so-called integrability conditions [4]. If the integrability conditions are satisfied, then the tetrad takes the form $e_{\mu}^{\ i}(x) = \partial x^i / \partial x^{\mu}$. The metrics η_{ij} and $g_{\alpha\beta}$ are related via

$$g_{\mu\nu} = e_{\mu} \cdot e_{\nu} = e_{\mu}^{\ i}(x)\, e_i \cdot e_{\nu}^{\ j}(x)\, e_j = e_{\mu}^{\ i}(x)\, e_{\nu}^{\ j}(x)\, e_i \cdot e_j = e_{\mu}^{\ i}(x)\, e_{\nu}^{\ j}(x)\, \eta_{ij}. \tag{2}$$

II. INVARIANCE PRINCIPLE

As is well-known, field equations and conservation laws of a given theory can be obtained from the principle of least action. The same principle is the basis of gauge theories. Thus, we begin with the principle of the least action and Noether's theorem. Let $\chi(x)$ be a multiplet field defined at a spacetime point x and let $\mathcal{L}\{\chi(x),\, \partial_j \chi(x);\, x\}$ be the Lagrangian density of the system. The action integral I of the system over a spacetime 4-volume Ω is defined by

$$I(\Omega) = \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{L}\{\chi(x),\, \partial_j \chi(x);\, x\}\, d^4x. \tag{3}$$

Now we consider the infinitesimal variations of the coordinates

$$x^i \to x'^i = x^i + \delta x^i, \tag{4}$$

and the field variables

$$\chi(x) \to \chi'(x') = \chi(x) + \delta\chi(x). \tag{5}$$

Correspondingly, the variation of the action integral is given by

$$\delta I = \int_{\Omega'} \mathcal{L}'(x')\, d^4x' - \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{L}(x)\, d^4x = \int_{\Omega} \left[\mathcal{L}'(x') ||\partial_j x'^j|| - \mathcal{L}(x)\right]\, d^4x. \tag{6}$$

Since the Jacobian for the infinitesimal variation of coordinates becomes

$$||\partial_j x'^j|| = 1 + \partial_j(\delta x^j), \tag{7}$$

the variation of the action takes the form,

$$\delta I = \int_{\Omega} \left[\delta\mathcal{L}(x) + \mathcal{L}(x)\, \partial_j(\delta x^j)\right]\, d^4x \tag{8}$$

where

$$\delta\mathcal{L}(x) = \mathcal{L}'(x') - \mathcal{L}(x). \tag{9}$$

For any function $\Phi(x)$ of x, it is convenient to define the fixed point variation δ_0 by,

$$\delta_0 \Phi(x) := \Phi'(x) - \Phi(x) = \Phi'(x') - \Phi(x'). \tag{10}$$

Expanding the function to first order in δx^j as

$$\Phi(x') = \Phi(x) + \delta x^j\, \partial_j \Phi(x), \tag{11}$$

we obtain

$$\delta\Phi(x) = \Phi'(x') - \Phi(x) = \Phi'(x') - \Phi(x') + \Phi(x') - \Phi(x) = \delta_0 \Phi(x) + \delta x^j\, \partial_j \Phi(x), \tag{12}$$

or equivalently,

$$\delta_0\Phi(x) = \delta\Phi(x) - \delta x^j \partial_j \Phi(x). \tag{13}$$

An advantage of having the fixed point variation is that δ_0 commutes with ∂_j:

$$\delta_0\partial_j\Phi(x) = \partial_j\delta_0\Phi(x). \tag{14}$$

For $\Phi(x) = \chi(x)$, we have

$$\delta\chi(x) = \delta_0\chi(x) + \delta x^i \partial_i \chi(x), \tag{15}$$

and

$$\delta\partial_i\chi(x) = \partial_i(\delta_0\chi(x)) - \partial_j(\delta x^j)\partial_i\chi(x). \tag{16}$$

Use of the fixed point variation in the integrand of (8) gives

$$\delta I = \int_\Omega \left[\delta_0\mathcal{L}(x) + \partial_j(\delta x^j\, \mathcal{L}(x))\right]\, d^4x. \tag{17}$$

If we require the action integral defined over any arbitrary region Ω to be invariant, that is, $\delta I = 0$, then we must have

$$\delta\mathcal{L} + \mathcal{L}\partial_j(\delta x^j) = \delta_0\mathcal{L} + \partial_j(\mathcal{L}\delta x^j) = 0. \tag{18}$$

If $\partial_j(\delta x^j) = 0$, then $\delta\mathcal{L} = 0$ so that the Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$ is invariant. In general however, $\partial_j(\delta x^j) \neq 0$, and $\mathcal{L}$ transforms like a scalar density. In other words, $\mathcal{L}$ is a Lagrangian density unless $\partial_j(\delta x^j) = 0$.

For convenience, let us introduce a function $h(x)$ that behaves like a scalar density, namely

$$\delta h(x) + h(x)\partial_j(\delta x^j) = 0. \tag{19}$$

We further let $\mathcal{L}(\chi(x),\ \partial_j\chi(x);\ x) = h(x)L(\chi(x),\ \partial_j\chi(x);\ x)$ where the function $L(\chi(x),\ \partial_j\chi(x);\ x)$ is the scalar Lagrangian of the system. Then we see that

$$\delta\mathcal{L} + \mathcal{L}\partial_j(\delta x^j) = h(x)\delta L. \tag{20}$$

Hence, the action integral remains invariant provided

$$\delta L = 0. \tag{21}$$

Let us calculate the integrand of (17) explicitly. The fixed point variation of $\mathcal{L}(x)$ is a consequence of a fixed point variation of the field $\chi(x)$,

$$\delta_0\mathcal{L} = \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\chi(x)}\delta_0\chi(x) + \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_j\chi(x))}\delta_0(\partial_j\chi(x)) \tag{22}$$

which can be cast into the form,

$$\delta_0\mathcal{L} = [\mathcal{L}]_\chi\delta_0\chi(x) + \partial_j\left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_j\chi(x))}\delta_0\chi(x)\right) \tag{23}$$

where

$$[\mathcal{L}]_\chi \equiv \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\chi(x)} - \partial_j\left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_j\chi(x))}\right). \tag{24}$$

Consequently, we have the action integral in the form

$$\delta I = \int_\Omega \left\{[\mathcal{L}]_\chi\delta_0\chi(x) + \partial_j\left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_j\chi(x))}\delta\chi(x) - T^j_k\,\delta x^k\right)\right\} d^4x, \tag{25}$$

where

$$T^j_k := \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_j \chi(x))}\partial_k \chi(x) - \delta^j_k \, \mathcal{L} \tag{26}$$

is the canonical energy-momentum tensor density. If the variations are chosen in such a way that $\delta x^j = 0$ over Ω and $\delta_0 \chi = 0$ on the boundary of Ω, then $\delta I = 0$ gives us the Euler-Lagrange equation,

$$[\mathcal{L}]_\chi = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \chi(x)} - \partial_j \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_j \chi(x))} \right) = 0. \tag{27}$$

On the other hand, if the field variables obey the Euler-Lagrange equation, $[\mathcal{L}]_\chi = 0$, then we have

$$\partial_j \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_j \chi(x))} \delta \chi(x) - T^j{}_k \, \delta x^k \right) = 0, \tag{28}$$

which gives rise to conservation laws.

III. GLOBAL POINCARÉ INVARIANCE

Recall our assertion that our spacetime in the absence of gravitation is Minkowski spacetime M_4. The isometry group of M_4 is the group of Poincaré transformation (PT) which consists of the Lorentz group $SO(3,\,1)$ and the group of translations $T(3,\,1)$. The Poincaré transformation of coordinates is given by

$$x^i \overset{PT}{\rightarrow} x'^i = a^i{}_j x^j + b^i, \tag{29}$$

where a^i_j and b^i are real constants and a^i_j satisfy the orthogonality conditions $a^i_k a^k_j = \delta^i_j$. For infinitesimal variations,

$$\delta x'^i = \varepsilon^i{}_j x^j + \varepsilon^i \tag{30}$$

where $\varepsilon_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ji} = 0$. While the Lorentz transformation (LT) forms a six parameter group, the Poincaré group has ten parameters. The Lie algebra for the ten generators of the Poincaré group is given by

$$\begin{aligned} [\Xi_{ij},\, \Xi_{kl}] &= \eta_{ik}\, \Xi_{jl} + \eta_{jl}\, \Xi_{ik} - \eta_{jk}\, \Xi_{il} - \eta_{il}\, \Xi_{jk}, \\ [\Xi_{ij},\, T_k] &= \eta_{jk} T_i - \eta_{ik} T_j, \;\; [T_i,\, T_j] = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

where Ξ_{ij} are the generators of Lorentz transformations, and T_i are the generators of four-dimensional translations. Obviously, $\partial_i(\delta x^i) = 0$ for the Poincaré transformation (29). Therefore, our Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$, which is the same as L with $h(x) = 1$ in this case, is invariant; that is to say, $\delta \mathcal{L} = \delta L = 0$ for $\delta I = 0$.

Suppose that the field $\chi(x)$ transforms under infinitesimal Poincaré transformation as

$$\delta \chi(x) = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{ij} S_{ij} \chi(x), \tag{32}$$

where the tensors S_{ij} are generators of the Lorentz group in some appropriate representation, satisfying

$$S_{ij} = -S_{ji}, \;\; [S_{ij},\, S_{kl}] = \eta_{ik}\, S_{jl} + \eta_{jl}\, S_{ik} - \eta_{jk}\, S_{il} - \eta_{il}\, S_{jk}. \tag{33}$$

Correspondingly, the derivative of $\chi(x)$ transforms as

$$\delta(\partial_k \chi(x)) = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{ij} S_{ij} \partial_k \chi(x) - \varepsilon^i{}_k \partial_i \chi(x). \tag{34}$$

Since the choice of infinitesimal parameters ε^i and ε^{ij} is arbitrary, the vanishing variation of the Lagrangian density invariant $\delta \mathcal{L} = 0$ leads to the following identities,

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \chi(x)} S_{ij} \chi(x) + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_k \chi(x))} (S_{ij} \partial_k \chi(x) + \eta_{ki} \partial_j \chi(x) - \eta_{kj} \partial_i \chi(x)) = 0. \tag{35}$$

We also obtain the following conservation laws

$$\partial_j T^j_k = 0,\ \partial_k \left(S^k{}_{ij} - x_i T^k{}_j + x_j T^k{}_i\right) = 0, \tag{36}$$

where

$$S^k{}_{ij} := -\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_k \chi(x))} S_{ij}\chi(x) \tag{37}$$

and T^j_k was defined in (26). These conservation laws imply that the energy-momentum P_k and angular momentum J_{ij}, respectively

$$P_k = \int T^0_k \, d^3x,\ J_{ij} = \int \left[S^0{}_{ij} - \left(x_i T^0{}_j - x_j T^0{}_i\right)\right] d^3x, \tag{38}$$

are conserved. The system exhibiting invariance under the ten parameter symmetry group has ten conserved quantities. This is an example of Noether's theorem. The first term $S^0{}_{ij}$ of the angular momentum integral corresponds to the spin angular momentum while the second term gives the orbital angular momentum. The global Poincaré invariance of a system defined over spacetime implies the latter is homogeneous (all spacetime points are equivalent) as dictated by translational invariance and is isotropic (all directions about a spacetime point are equivalent) as indicated by Lorentz invariance. It is interesting to observe that the fixed point variation of the field variables $\chi(x)$ takes the form

$$\delta_0\chi(x) = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^j{}_k \Xi_j{}^k \, \chi(x) + \varepsilon^j \, T_j \, \chi(x), \tag{39}$$

with $\Xi_j{}^k = \eta^{ik}\Xi_{ji}$, where

$$\Xi_{jk} = S_{jk} + \delta\left(x_j\partial_k - x_k\partial_j\right),\ \ T_j = -\partial_j. \tag{40}$$

We remark that $\Xi_j{}^k$ are the generators of the Lorentz transformation and T_j are those of the translations.

IV. LOCAL POINCARÉ INVARIANCE

In this Section we consider a modification of the infinitesimal Poincaré transformation (30) by assuming that the parameters ε^j_k and ε^j are functions of spacetime coordinates. We write the spacetime dependant infinitesimal Poincaré transformation as

$$\delta x^\mu = \varepsilon^\mu{}_\nu(x)\, x^\nu + \varepsilon^\mu(x) = \xi^\mu(x), \tag{41}$$

which we call a local Poincaré transformation (or the general coordinate transformation). To make a distinction between global (with holonomic coordinates) and local transformations (with non-holonomic coordinates), we use Greek indices ($\mu,\ \nu = 0,\ 1,\ 2,\ 3$) for the former and Latin indices ($j,\ k = 0,\ 1,\ 2,\ 3$) for the latter. The variation of the field variables $\chi(x)$ defined at a point x is still the same as that of the global Poincaré transformation (32). The corresponding fixed point variation of $\chi(x)$ takes the form,

$$\delta_0\chi(x) = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{ij} S^{ij}\chi(x) - \xi^\nu\partial_\nu\chi(x). \tag{42}$$

Differentiating both sides of (42) with respect to x^μ we obtain

$$\delta_0\partial_\mu\chi(x) = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{ij} S_{ij}\partial_\mu\chi(x) + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\varepsilon^{ij})\, S_{ij}\chi(x) - \partial_\mu(\xi^\nu(x)\partial_\nu\chi(x)). \tag{43}$$

Use of these variations leads to the variation of the Lagrangian L,

$$\delta\mathcal{L} + \partial_\mu(\delta x^\mu)\mathcal{L} = h(x)\delta L = \delta_0\mathcal{L} + \partial_\nu(\mathcal{L}\delta x^\nu) = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\varepsilon^{ij})\, S^\mu{}_{ij} - (\partial_\mu\xi^\nu(x))\, T^\mu_\nu \tag{44}$$

which is no longer zero unless the parameters ε^{ij} and $\xi^\nu(x)$ become constants. Accordingly, the action integral for the given Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}$ is not invariant under local Poincaré transformation. We notice that while $\partial_j(\delta x^j) = 0$ for the local Poincaré transformation, $\partial_\mu \xi^\mu(x)$ does not vanish under local Poincaré transformations. Hence, as expected $\mathcal{L}$ is not a Lagrangian scalar but a Lagrangian density. As mentioned earlier, for defining the Lagrangian L we have to select an appropriate non-trivial scalar function $h(x)$ satisfying

$$\delta h(x) + h(x)\partial_\mu \xi^\mu(x) = 0. \tag{45}$$

Now we consider a minimal modification of the Lagrangian so as to make the action integral invariant under the local Poincaré transformation. It is rather obvious that if there is a covariant derivative $\nabla_k \chi(x)$ which transforms as

$$\delta(\nabla_k \chi(x)) = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{ij} S_{ij} \nabla_k \chi(x) - \varepsilon^{i}{}_{k} \nabla_i \chi(x), \tag{46}$$

then a modified Lagrangian $L'(\chi(x),\ \partial_k\chi(x),\ x) = L(\chi(x),\ \nabla_k\chi(x),\ x)$, obtained by replacing $\partial_k\chi(x)$ in $L(\chi(x),$ $\partial_k\chi(x),\ x)$ by $\nabla_k\chi(x)$, remains invariant under the local Poincaré transformation, that is

$$\delta L' = \frac{\partial L'}{\partial \chi(x)}\delta\chi(x) + \frac{\partial L'}{\partial(\nabla_k\chi(x))}\delta(\nabla_k\chi(x)) = 0. \tag{47}$$

To find such a k-covariant derivative, we introduce the gauge fields $A^{ij}{}_{\mu} = -A^{ji}{}_{\mu}$ and define the μ-covariant derivative

$$\nabla_\mu \chi(x) := \partial_\mu \chi(x) + \frac{1}{2} A^{ij}{}_{\mu} S_{ij} \chi(x), \tag{48}$$

in such a way that the covariant derivative transforms as

$$\delta_0 \nabla_\mu \chi(x) = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{ij} S_{ij} \nabla_\mu \chi(x) - \partial_\mu(\xi^\nu(x)\nabla_\nu\chi(x)). \tag{49}$$

The transformation properties of $A^{ab}{}_{\mu}$ are determined by $\nabla_\mu\chi(x)$ and $\delta\nabla_\mu\chi(x)$. Making use of

$$\delta\nabla_\mu\chi(x) = \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\left(\varepsilon^{ij}\right)S_{ij}\chi(x) + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{ij}S_{ij}\partial_\mu\chi(x) - \left(\partial_\mu\xi^\nu(x)\right)\partial_\nu\chi(x) + \frac{1}{2}\delta A^{ij}{}_{\mu}S_{ij}\chi(x) + \frac{1}{4}A^{ij}{}_{\mu}S_{ij}\varepsilon^{kl}S_{kl}\chi(x) \tag{50}$$

and comparing with (48) we obtain,

$$\delta A^{ij}{}_{\mu}S_{ij}\chi(x) + \partial_\mu\left(\varepsilon^{ij}\right)S_{ij}\chi(x) + \frac{1}{2}\left(A^{ij}{}_{\mu}\varepsilon^{kl} - \varepsilon^{ij}A^{kl}{}_{\mu}\right)S_{ij}S_{kl}\chi(x) + \left(\partial_\mu\xi^\nu(x)\right)A^{ij}{}_{\nu}S_{ij}\chi(x) = 0. \tag{51}$$

Using the antisymmetry in ij and kl to rewrite the term in parenthesis on the right hand side (RHS) of (51) as $[S_{ij},\ S_{kl}]\,A^{ij}{}_{\mu}\varepsilon^{kl}\chi$, we see the explicit appearance of the commutator $[S_{ij},\ S_{kl}]$. Using the expression for the commutator of Lie algebra generators

$$[S_{ij},\ S_{kl}] = \frac{1}{2}c^{[ef]}{}_{[ij][kl]}S_{ef}, \tag{52}$$

where $c^{[ef]}{}_{[ij][kl]}$ (the square brackets denote anti-symmetrization) is the structure constants of the Lorentz group (deduced below), we have

$$[S_{ij},\ S_{kl}]\,A^{ij}{}_{\mu}\varepsilon^{kl} = \frac{1}{2}\left(A^{ic}_{\mu}\varepsilon^{j}_{c} - A^{cj}_{\mu}\varepsilon^{i}_{c}\right)S_{ij}. \tag{53}$$

Substitution of this equation and consideration of the antisymmetry of $\varepsilon_{c}{}^{b} = -\varepsilon^{b}{}_{c}$ enables us to write

$$\delta A^{ij}{}_{\mu} = \varepsilon^{i}{}_{k}A^{kj}{}_{\mu} + \varepsilon^{j}{}_{k}A^{ik}{}_{\mu} - (\partial_\mu\xi^\nu(x))A^{ij}{}_{\nu} - \partial_\mu\varepsilon^{ij}. \tag{54}$$

We require the k-derivative and μ-derivative of $\chi(x)$ to be linearly related as

$$\nabla_k\chi(x) = e_k{}^{\mu}(x)\nabla_\mu\chi(x), \tag{55}$$

where the coefficients $e_k{}^{\mu}(x)$ are position-dependent and behave like a new set of field variables. From (55) it is evident that $\nabla_k\chi(x)$ varies as

$$\delta\nabla_k\chi(x) = \delta e^{\mu}_{k}\nabla_\mu\chi(x) + e^{\mu}_{k}\delta\nabla_\mu\chi(x). \tag{56}$$

Comparing (56) with $\delta\nabla_k\chi(x) = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{ab}S_{ab}\nabla_k\chi(x) - \varepsilon^j{}_k\nabla_j\chi(x)$ we obtain,

$$e^k_\alpha\delta e^\mu_k\nabla_\mu\chi(x) - (\partial_\alpha\xi^\nu(x))\nabla_\nu\chi(x) + e^k_\alpha\varepsilon^j{}_k\nabla_j\chi(x) = 0. \tag{57}$$

Exploiting $\delta\left(e^k_\alpha e^\mu_k\right) = 0$ we find the quantity $e_k{}^\mu$ transforms according to

$$\delta e_k{}^\mu = e_k{}^\nu\partial_\nu\xi^\mu(x) - e_i{}^\mu\varepsilon^i{}_k. \tag{58}$$

It is also important to recognize that the inverse of $\det(e_k{}^\mu)$ transforms like a scalar density as $h(x)$ does. For our minimal modification of the Lagrangian density, we utilize this available quantity for the scalar density h; namely, we let

$$h(x) := [\det(e_k{}^\mu)]^{-1}. \tag{59}$$

By replacing the Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}(\chi(x),\, \partial_k\chi(x),\, x)$, which is invariant under global Poincaré transformation, by the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L}(\chi(x),\, \partial_\mu\chi(x);\, x) \to h(x)L(\chi(x),\, \nabla_k\chi(x)), \tag{60}$$

the action integral remains invariant under the local Poincaré transformation. We remark that in the limiting case when we consider Poincaré transformations which are not spacetime dependant, $e_k{}^\mu \to \delta^\mu_k$ so that $h(x) \to 1$. Since the local Poincaré transformation $\delta x^\mu = \xi^\mu(x)$ is nothing but a generalized coordinate transformation, the newly introduced gauge fields e^λ_i and $A^{ij}{}_\mu$ can be interpreted, respectively, as the tetrad (vierbein) fields which set the local coordinate frame and as a local affine connection with respect to the tetrad frame.

V. SPINORS AND VECTORS

We may readily define tensors (and vectors) and various algebraic operations with tensors at a given point in the spacetime manifold. Comparison of tensors at different points however, requires use of the affine connection via the process of parallel transport. Introduction of spinors require use of tetrads. In analogy with the case of vectors, comparison of bilinear forms - constructed from spinors and their conjugates - at different spacetime points require use of the spin connection. First, consider the case where the multiplet field $\chi(x)$ is the Dirac field $\psi(x)$ which behaves like a four-component spinor under LTs and transforms as

$$\psi(x) \to \psi'(x') = S(\Lambda)\psi(x), \tag{61}$$

where $S(\Lambda)$ is an irreducible unitary representation of the Lorentz group. Since the bilinear form $v^k = i\bar{\psi}\gamma^k\psi$ is a vector (where $i \in \mathbb{C}$), it transforms according to

$$v^j = \Lambda^j{}_k v^k, \tag{62}$$

where $\Lambda^j{}_i$ is a LT matrix satisfying $\Lambda_{ij} + \Lambda_{ji} = 0$. Invariance of v^i (or covariance of the Dirac equation) under the transformation $\psi(x) \to \psi'(x')$ leads to

$$S^{-1}(\Lambda)\gamma^i S(\Lambda) = \Lambda^i_j\gamma^j, \tag{63}$$

where the quantities γ^i are the Dirac γ-matrices satisfying the anti-commutator relation,

$$\gamma_i\gamma_j + \gamma_j\gamma_i = \eta_{ij}\mathbf{1},\, i,\, j = 0...3. \tag{64}$$

Furthermore, we notice that the γ-matrices satisfy the following properties:

$$\begin{cases} (\gamma_0)^\dagger = -\gamma_0,\ \left(\gamma^0\right)^2 = (\gamma_0)^2 = -1,\ \gamma_0 = -\gamma^0 \text{ and } \gamma_0\gamma^0 = 1 \\ (\gamma_k)^\dagger = \gamma_k\ ,\ \left(\gamma^k\right)^2 = (\gamma_k)^2 = 1;\ (k = 1,\, 2,\, 3) \text{ and } \gamma_k = \gamma^k \\ \gamma^5 := \gamma^0\gamma^1\gamma^2\gamma^3,\ (\gamma_5)^\dagger = -\gamma_5,\ (\gamma_5)^2 = -1 \text{ and } \gamma^5 = \gamma_5. \end{cases} \tag{65}$$

The dagger operator (†) implements the complex conjugation of the transpose of the quantity appearing to its left. We assume the transformation $S(\Lambda)$ can be put into the form $S(\Lambda) = e^{\Lambda_{ij}\gamma^{ij}}$. Expanding $S(\Lambda)$ about the identity, retaining terms to first order in infinitesimals and expanding Λ_{ij} to first order in ε_{ij},

$$\Lambda_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ij}, \varepsilon_{ij} + \varepsilon_{ji} = 0 \tag{66}$$

we get

$$S(\Lambda) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{ij}\gamma_{ij}. \tag{67}$$

To determine the form of γ_{ij} we substitute (66) and (67) into (63) to obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{ij}\left[\gamma^{ij}, \gamma^{k}\right] = \eta^{ki}\varepsilon_{ji}\gamma^{j}. \tag{68}$$

Rewriting the RHS of (68) using the antisymmetry of ε_{ij} as

$$\eta^{ki}\varepsilon_{ji}\gamma^{j} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{ij}\left(\eta^{ki}\gamma^{j} - \eta^{kj}\gamma^{i}\right), \tag{69}$$

yields

$$\left[\gamma^{k}, \gamma^{ij}\right] = \eta^{ki}\gamma^{j} - \eta^{kj}\gamma^{i}. \tag{70}$$

Assuming the solution to have the form of an antisymmetric product of two matrices, we obtain the solution

$$\gamma^{ij} := \frac{1}{2}\left[\gamma^{i}, \gamma^{j}\right]. \tag{71}$$

If $\chi(x) = \psi(x)$, the group generator S_{ij} appearing in (33) is identified with

$$S_{ij} \equiv \gamma_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_i\gamma_j - \gamma_j\gamma_i). \tag{72}$$

To be explicit, the Dirac field transforms under LT as

$$\delta\psi(x) = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{ij}\gamma_{ij}\psi(x). \tag{73}$$

The Pauli conjugate of the Dirac field is denoted $\bar{\psi}(x)$ and defined by

$$\bar{\psi}(x) := i\psi^{\dagger}(x)\,\gamma_0. \tag{74}$$

The conjugate field $\bar{\psi}(x)$ transforms under LTs as,

$$\delta\bar{\psi}(x) = -\bar{\psi}(x)\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{ij}\gamma_{ij}. \tag{75}$$

Under local LTs, ε_{ab} becomes a function of spacetime $\varepsilon_{ab} \to \varepsilon_{ab}(x)$. Now unlike $\partial_\mu\psi(x)$, the derivative of $\psi'(x')$ is no longer homogenous due to the occurrence of the term $\gamma^{ab}\left[\partial_\mu\varepsilon_{ab}(x)\right]\psi(x)$ in $\partial_\mu\psi'(x')$, which is non-vanishing unless $\varepsilon_{ab}(x)$ is constant. When going from locally flat to curved spacetime we must generalize ∂_μ to the covariant derivative D_μ to compensate for this extra term, allowing to gauge the group of LTs. Thus, by use of D_μ we can preserve the invariance of the Lagrangian for arbitrary local LTs at each spacetime point

$$D_\mu\psi'(x') = S(\Lambda(x))D_\mu\psi(x). \tag{76}$$

To determine the explicit form of the connection belonging to D_μ, we study the derivative of $S(\Lambda(x))$. The transformation $S(\Lambda(x))$ is given by

$$S(\Lambda(x)) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{ab}(x)\gamma^{ab}. \tag{77}$$

Since $\varepsilon_{ab}(x)$ is only a function of spacetime for local Lorentz coordinates, we express this infinitesimal LT in terms of general coordinates only by shifting all spacetime dependence of the local coordinates into tetrad fields as

$$\varepsilon_{ab}(x) = e_a{}^{\lambda}(x) e^{\nu}{}_b(x) \varepsilon_{\lambda\nu}. \tag{78}$$

Substituting this expression for $\varepsilon_{ab}(x)$, we obtain

$$\partial_\mu \varepsilon_{ab}(x) = \partial_\mu \left[e_a{}^{\lambda}(x) e^{\nu}{}_b(x) \varepsilon_{\lambda\nu} \right]. \tag{79}$$

However, since $\varepsilon_{\lambda\nu}$ has no spacetime dependence, this reduces to

$$\partial_\mu \varepsilon_{ab}(x) = e_a{}^{\lambda}(x) \partial_\mu e_{b\lambda}(x) - e_b{}^{\nu}(x) \partial_\mu e_{a\nu}(x), \tag{80}$$

enabling us to write

$$\partial_\mu S(\Lambda(x)) = -\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{ab} \partial_\mu \varepsilon_{ab}(x). \tag{81}$$

According to (48), the covariant derivative of a Dirac spinor (and its conjugate) is given by an equation of form

$$D_\mu \psi(x) = \partial_\mu \psi(x) + \frac{1}{2} \omega^{ij}{}_{\mu} \gamma_{ij} \psi(x) \text{ and } D_\mu \bar{\psi}(x) = \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}(x) - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}(x) \omega^{ij}{}_{\mu} \gamma_{ij}, \tag{82}$$

respectively, where $\omega^{ij}{}_{\mu}$ are the components of the spin-connection. Using the covariant derivatives of $\psi(x)$ and $\bar{\psi}(x)$, we can show that

$$D_\mu v_j = \partial_\mu v_j - \omega^{i}{}_{j\mu} v_i. \tag{83}$$

The same covariant derivative should be used for any covariant vector v_k under LTs. Since $\nabla_\mu (v_i v^i) = \partial_\mu (v_i v^i)$, the covariant derivative for a contravariant vector v^i must be

$$D_\mu v^i = \partial_\mu v^i + \omega^{i}{}_{j\mu} v^j. \tag{84}$$

Since the tetrad $e_i{}^{\mu}$ is a covariant vector under LTs, its covariant derivative must transform according to the same rule.

Under local LTs, the covariant derivative itself should transform as a scalar since it does not carry a Lorentz (Latin) index. Thus $D_\mu v^i \overset{\text{LT}}{\rightarrow} D'_\mu v'^i = \Lambda^i_j D_\mu v^j$ where $\Lambda^i_j := \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial x^j}$. Making use of the equation for $D_\mu v^i$, $D'_\mu v'^i$ and using $\partial_\mu \eta_{ab} = 0$ (since the Minkowski metric is constant) to write $\Lambda^n{}_a \eta_{nk} \partial_\mu \Lambda_b{}^k = \Lambda^j{}_a \partial_\mu \Lambda_{jb}$, we obtain the transformation property of the spin connection

$$\omega^{ab}{}_{\mu} \rightarrow \omega'^{ab}{}_{\mu} = \Lambda^a_i \Lambda^b_j \omega^{ij}{}_{\mu} - \left(\partial_\mu \Lambda^a_i \right) \Lambda^{bi}. \tag{85}$$

Parallel transport is a unique geometric operation that is independent of the choice of frame. We emphasize that there is only one linear connection. It may be expressed in either holonomic or non-holonomic frames of reference. As will be shown, these two representations of the linear connection are related by (89). Moreover, the linear connection (expressed in either reference frame) is not *a priori* torsion free. Indeed, it will be shown that the linear connection does contain torsion, the latter being defined by (124).

The relative rotation of a coordinate (holonomic) basis vector e_α is given by $dx^\alpha \left(\partial_\alpha e_k^{\gamma} + \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\gamma} e_k^{\beta} \right) e_\gamma = dx^\alpha \left(\nabla_\alpha e_k^{\beta} \right) e_\beta^j e_j$ with the affine connection $\Gamma^\rho_{\mu\nu} = e_i{}^{\rho}(x) D_\nu e^i{}_{\mu}(x) = -e_\mu{}^{i}(x) D_\nu e^\rho{}_i(x)$ defining the covariant derivative operator $\nabla_\alpha := \partial_\alpha + \Gamma^{\beta\gamma}_\alpha \Xi_{\beta\gamma}$. The matrices $\Xi_{\alpha\beta} = -\Xi_{\beta\alpha}$ are generators of the Lorentz group satisfying the Lie algebra (31). To make the transition to curved spacetime, we take account of the general coordinates of objects that are covariant under local Poincaré transformations. The covariant derivative of a quantity v^λ (v_λ) which behaves like a contravariant (covariant) vector under the local Poincaré transformations is given by

$$\nabla_\nu v^\lambda := \partial_\nu v^\lambda + \Gamma^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu} v^\mu \text{ and } \nabla_\nu v_\mu := \partial_\nu v_\mu - \Gamma^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu} v_\lambda, \tag{86}$$

respectively. The covariant derivative for a mixed tensor $A_\nu{}^{\lambda}$ is given by,

$$\nabla_\mu A_\nu{}^{\lambda} = \partial_\mu A_\nu{}^{\lambda} + \Gamma^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\sigma} A_\nu{}^{\sigma} - \Gamma^{\sigma}{}_{\mu\nu} A_\sigma{}^{\lambda}. \tag{87}$$

Since the basis vectors (in either holonomic or non-holonomic frames) change from one point in the spacetime manifold to another, the derivative of a vector must be given by [17] $\partial_\mu v = \partial_\mu \left(v^i e_i\right) = \left(\partial_\mu v^i\right) e_i + v^i \left(\partial_\mu e_i\right) \equiv \left(D_\mu v^i\right) e_i$. This implies that $\partial_\mu e_j = \omega^i{}_{j\mu} e_i$. For similar reasons, we conclude $\partial_\mu e_\nu = \Gamma^\rho{}_{\nu\mu} e_\rho$. Thus, if we perform a transformation on (85) which leads from a non-holonomic to a holonomic frame, then we find [17, 18]

$$\partial_\nu e_i{}^\lambda(x) - \omega^k{}_{i\nu} e_k{}^\lambda + \Gamma^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu} e_i{}^\mu \ : \ = \mathcal{D}_\nu e_i{}^\lambda(x) = 0, \tag{88}$$

$$\partial_\nu e^i{}_\mu(x) + \omega^i{}_{k\nu} e^k{}_\mu - \Gamma^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu} e^i{}_\lambda \ : \ = \mathcal{D}_\nu e^i{}_\mu(x) = 0,$$

since $\partial_\mu e_{j\nu} = \partial_\mu \left(e_j \cdot e_\nu\right) = \omega^i{}_{j\mu} e_i \cdot e_\nu + \Gamma^\rho{}_{\nu\mu} e_j \cdot e_\rho = \omega^i{}_{j\mu} e_{i\nu} + \Gamma^\rho{}_{\nu\mu} e_{j\rho}$. The operator $\mathcal{D}_\nu$ defined in (88) is introduced for later convenience. From (88) we can deduce a relation that allows to compute the affine connection in terms of the spin connection (and tetrad) or vice-versa, namely [17],

$$\Gamma^\sigma{}_{\mu\nu} = e_b{}^\sigma \left(\partial_\mu e^b{}_\nu(x) - \omega^{ab}{}_\mu e_{a\nu}\right). \tag{89}$$

To determine the transformation properties of (89), we consider the LT of the quantity $e_b{}^\sigma \partial_\mu e^b{}_\nu(x)$ which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} e_b{}^\sigma \partial_\mu e^b{}_\nu(x) \ &\rightarrow \ \left[e_b{}^\sigma \partial_\mu e^b{}_\nu(x)\right]' = \Lambda^\sigma_\rho e_b{}^\rho \Lambda^\beta_\mu \partial_\beta \left(\Lambda^\lambda_\nu e^b{}_\lambda(x)\right) \\ &= \ \Lambda^\sigma_\lambda \Lambda^\beta_\mu \partial_\beta \Lambda^\lambda_\nu + \Lambda^\sigma_\rho \Lambda^\beta_\mu \Lambda^\lambda_\nu e_b{}^\rho \partial_\beta e^b{}_\lambda(x), \end{aligned} \tag{90}$$

where $\Lambda^\alpha{}_\mu := \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial x^\mu}$ is a holonomic transformation matrix. By use of (85) and (89), we obtain the following transformation law

$$\Gamma^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \Gamma'^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu} = \Lambda^\alpha{}_\mu \Lambda^\beta{}_\nu \Lambda_\gamma{}^\lambda \Gamma^\gamma{}_{\alpha\beta} + \Lambda^\alpha{}_\mu \Lambda_\rho{}^\lambda \Lambda^\rho{}_{\alpha\nu}, \tag{91}$$

where $\Lambda^\rho{}_{\alpha\nu} \equiv \partial_\alpha \partial_\nu x^\rho$.

The linear connection may be decomposed into its symmetric and anti-symmetric components according to $\Gamma^\sigma_{\rho\mu} = \mathring{\Gamma}^\sigma_{\rho\mu} + T^\sigma_{\rho\mu}$, where $\mathring{\Gamma}^\sigma{}_{\rho\mu} = \mathring{\Gamma}^\sigma{}_{\mu\rho}$ and $T^\sigma_{\rho\mu}$ is the torsion tensor defined as the asymmetric part of the affine connection,

$$T^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} := \Gamma^\alpha_{\beta\gamma} - \Gamma^\alpha_{\gamma\beta}. \tag{92}$$

Recalling (2) and using (88), we may derive the so-called metricity condition $\nabla_\lambda g_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{D}_\lambda g_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{D}_\lambda \left(e^{\ i}_\mu(x)\, e^{\ j}_\nu(x)\, \eta_{ij}\right) = 0$. By use of the metricity condition and the symmetry of $\mathring{\Gamma}^\sigma{}_{\mu\nu}$ in $\mu\nu$ we can write,

$$\mathring{\Gamma}^\rho_{\mu\nu} + \mathring{\Gamma}^\rho_{\nu\mu} = -e^\rho_b e^c_\nu \left[\left(\partial_\mu e_\gamma{}^b(x)\right) e^\gamma{}_c + \left(\partial_\mu e^\gamma{}_c(x)\right) e_\gamma{}^b\right]. \tag{93}$$

We know however, that

$$\partial_\mu \left[e^\lambda{}_b(x) e_{\lambda c}(x)\right] = e_{\lambda c}(x) \partial_\mu e^\lambda{}_b(x) + e_{\lambda b}(x) \partial_\mu e^\lambda{}_c(x) + e_b{}^\rho(x) e^\lambda{}_c(x) \partial_\mu g_{\lambda\rho}. \tag{94}$$

Letting $\lambda \rightarrow \nu$ and exchanging b and c, we obtain

$$\partial_\mu \left[e^\nu{}_b(x) e_{\nu c}(x)\right] = -e_b{}^\lambda(x) e^\nu{}_c(x) \partial_\mu g_{\nu\lambda} \tag{95}$$

so that,

$$\mathring{\Gamma}_{\mu\lambda\nu} + \mathring{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu\lambda} = \partial_\mu g_{\nu\lambda}. \tag{96}$$

By cyclic permutation of indices in (96), we obtain the Christoffel connection coefficient of a Riemannian manifold,

$$\mathring{\Gamma}^\sigma_{\rho\mu} := \frac{1}{2} g^{\kappa\sigma} \left(\partial_\kappa g_{\rho\mu} + \partial_\rho g_{\mu\kappa} - \partial_\mu g_{\kappa\rho}\right). \tag{97}$$

For completeness we determine the transformation law of the Christoffel connection. Making use of $\mathring{\Gamma}^\lambda_{\mu\nu} e_\lambda = \partial_\mu e_\nu$, where

$$\partial_\mu e_\nu = \Lambda^\alpha{}_\mu \Lambda^\beta{}_\nu \partial_\alpha e_\beta + \Lambda^\alpha{}_\mu \left(\partial_\alpha \Lambda^\beta{}_\nu\right) e_\beta, \tag{98}$$

we can show that

$$\mathring{\Gamma}^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \mathring{\Gamma}'^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu} = \Lambda^{\alpha}{}_{\mu}\Lambda^{\beta}{}_{\nu}\Lambda_{\gamma}{}^{\lambda}\mathring{\Gamma}^{\gamma}{}_{\alpha\beta} + \Lambda^{\alpha}{}_{\mu}\Lambda_{\beta}{}^{\lambda}\Lambda^{\beta}{}_{\alpha\nu}. \tag{99}$$

In light of the above considerations, we may regard infinitesimal local gauge transformations as local rotations of basis vectors belonging to the tangent space [13, 19] of the manifold. For this reason, given a local frame on a tangent plane to the point x on the base manifold, we can obtain all other frames on the same tangent plane by means of local rotations of the original basis vectors. Reversing this argument, we observe that by knowing all frames residing in the horizontal tangent space to a point x on the base manifold enables us to deduce the corresponding gauge group of symmetry transformations.

VI. CURVATURE AND TORSION

The parallel transport of a vector around an infinitesimal closed path is proportional to the curvature of the manifold and can be obtained from the commutator $[D_\mu,\, D_\nu]\,\psi\,(x)$ [20]. By direct computation we find the second order covariant derivative

$$\begin{aligned} D_\nu D_\mu \psi\,(x) \;=\; & \partial_\nu\partial_\mu\psi\,(x) + \frac{1}{2}S_{cd}\left[\psi\,(x)\,\partial_\nu\omega_\mu{}^{cd} + \omega_\mu{}^{cd}\partial_\nu\psi\,(x)\right] + \Gamma^{\rho}{}_{\mu\nu}D_\rho\psi\,(x) + \frac{1}{2}S_{ef}\omega_\nu{}^{ef}\partial_\mu\psi\,(x) + \\ & + \frac{1}{4}S_{ef}S_{cd}\omega_\nu{}^{ef}\omega_\mu{}^{cd}\psi\,(x)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{100}$$

Using (100) and a similar expression with μ and ν interchanged and noting that partial derivatives commute, we find

$$[D_\mu,\, D_\nu]\,\psi\,(x) = \frac{1}{2}S_{cd}\left[\left(\partial_\nu\omega^{cd}{}_{\mu} - \partial_\mu\omega^{cd}{}_{\nu}\right)\psi\,(x)\right] + \frac{1}{4}S_{ef}S_{cd}\left[\left(\omega^{ef}{}_{\nu}\omega^{cd}{}_{\mu} - \omega^{ef}{}_{\mu}\omega^{cd}{}_{\nu}\right)\psi\,(x)\right]. \tag{101}$$

Relabeling running indices we can write,

$$\frac{1}{4}S_{ef}S_{cd}\left(\omega^{ef}{}_{\nu}\omega^{cd}{}_{\mu} - \omega^{ef}{}_{\mu}\omega^{cd}{}_{\nu}\right)\psi\,(x) = \frac{1}{4}\left[S_{cd},\, S_{ef}\right]\omega^{ef}{}_{\mu}\omega^{cd}{}_{\nu}\psi\,(x)\,. \tag{102}$$

Using $\{\gamma_a,\, \gamma_b\} = 2\eta_{ab}$ to obtain

$$\{\gamma_a,\, \gamma_b\}\,\gamma_c\gamma_d = 2\eta_{ab}\gamma_c\gamma_d, \tag{103}$$

we find the commutator of S_{ab} is given by

$$\left[S_{cd},\, S_{ef}\right] = \frac{1}{2}\left[\eta_{ce}\delta^a_d\delta^b_f - \eta_{de}\delta^a_c\delta^b_f + \eta_{cf}\delta^a_e\delta^b_d - \eta_{df}\delta^a_e\delta^b_c\right]S_{ab}. \tag{104}$$

It is clear that the term in brackets on the RHS of (104) is antisymmetric in cd and ef and is also antisymmetric under exchange of pairs of indices cd and ef. Since S_{ab} is antisymmetric in ab, so too must be the terms in brackets, so that the commutator does not vanish. Hence, the term in brackets is totally antisymmetric under interchange of indices ab, cd and ef and exchange of these pairs of indices. We identify this quantity as the structure constant [21] of the Lorentz group

$$\left[\eta_{ce}\delta^a_d\delta^b_f - \eta_{de}\delta^a_c\delta^b_f + \eta_{cf}\delta^a_e\delta^b_d - \eta_{df}\delta^a_e\delta^b_c\right] = c_{[cd][ef]}{}^{[ab]} = c^{[ab]}{}_{[cd][ef]}, \tag{105}$$

with the aid of which we can write

$$\frac{1}{4}\left[S_{cd},\, S_{ef}\right]\omega^{ef}{}_{\mu}\omega^{cd}_{\nu}\psi\,(x) = \frac{1}{2}S_{ab}\left[\omega^{a}{}_{e\nu}\omega^{eb}{}_{\mu} - \omega^{b}{}_{e\nu}\omega^{ae}{}_{\mu}\right]\psi\,(x)\,. \tag{106}$$

Combining these results, the commutator $[D_\mu,\, D_\nu]\psi\,(x)$ gives

$$[D_\mu,\, D_\nu]\psi\,(x) = -\frac{1}{2}R^{ij}{}_{\mu\nu}S_{ij}\psi\,(x)\,, \tag{107}$$

where

$$R^{i}{}_{j\mu\nu} := \partial_\nu\omega^{i}{}_{j\mu} - \partial^{i}_{\mu}\omega{}_{j\nu} + \omega^{i}{}^{k}_{k\nu}\omega{}_{j\mu} - \omega^{i}{}^{k}_{k\mu}\omega{}_{j\nu}. \tag{108}$$

Using the Jacobi identities for the commutator of covariant derivatives, it follows that the curvature $R^{i}{}_{j\mu\nu}$ the Bianchi identity

$$D_{\lambda}R^{i}{}_{j\mu\nu}+D_{\mu}R^{i}{}_{j\nu\lambda}+D_{\nu}R^{i}{}_{j\lambda\mu}=0. \tag{109}$$

Permuting indices, this can be put into the cyclic form

$$\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma}D_{\beta}R^{ij}{}_{\rho\sigma}=0, \tag{110}$$

where $\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma}$ is the Levi-Civita alternating symbol. Furthermore, it can be shown that $R^{ij}{}_{\mu\nu}=\eta^{jk}R^{i}{}_{k\mu\nu}$ is antisymmetric with respect to both pairs of indices,

$$R^{ij}{}_{\mu\nu}=-R^{ji}{}_{\mu\nu}=R^{ji}{}_{\nu\mu}=-R^{ij}{}_{\nu\mu}. \tag{111}$$

This condition is known as the first curvature tensor identity.

To determine the analogue of $[D_{\mu},\, D_{\nu}]\psi\left(x\right)$ in local coordinates we depart from $D_{k}\psi\left(x\right)=e^{\mu}{}_{k}D_{\mu}\psi\left(x\right)$. From $D_{k}\psi\left(x\right)$ we obtain,

$$D_{l}D_{k}\psi\left(x\right)=e^{\nu}{}_{l}\left[D_{\nu}e^{\mu}{}_{k}\left(x\right)\right]D_{\mu}\psi\left(x\right)+e^{\nu}{}_{l}e^{\mu}{}_{k}D_{\nu}D_{\mu}\psi\left(x\right). \tag{112}$$

Permuting indices and recognizing

$$e_{\mu}{}^{a}D_{\nu}e^{\mu}{}_{k}\left(x\right)=-e_{k}{}^{\mu}D_{\nu}e^{a}{}_{\mu}\left(x\right), \tag{113}$$

(which follows from $D_{\nu}\left(e^{a}_{\mu}e^{\mu}_{k}\right)=0$) which leads to,

$$e^{\nu}{}_{l}\left[D_{\nu}e^{\mu}{}_{k}\left(x\right)\right]D_{\mu}\psi\left(x\right)-e^{\mu}{}_{k}\left[D_{\mu}e^{\nu}{}_{l}\left(x\right)\right]D_{\nu}\psi\left(x\right)=\left(e^{\mu}{}_{l}e^{\nu}{}_{k}-e^{\mu}{}_{k}e^{\nu}{}_{l}\right)\left[D_{\nu}e_{\mu}{}^{a}\left(x\right)\right]D_{a}\psi\left(x\right). \tag{114}$$

Defining

$$C^{a}{}_{kl}:=\left(e^{\mu}{}_{k}e^{\nu}{}_{l}-e^{\mu}{}_{l}e^{\nu}{}_{k}\right)D_{\nu}e_{\mu}{}^{a}\left(x\right), \tag{115}$$

the commutator of the k-covariant derivatives takes the final form [4]

$$[D_{k},\, D_{l}]\psi\left(x\right)=-\frac{1}{2}R^{ij}{}_{kl}S_{ij}\psi\left(x\right)+C^{i}{}_{kl}D_{i}\psi\left(x\right). \tag{116}$$

The central charge $R^{ij}{}_{kl}$ and structure functions $C^{i}{}_{jk}$ of the deformed algebra (116) are given (in non-holonomic coordinates) by the first Cartan structure equations

$$R^{ij}{}_{kl}\left(\omega\right):=e_{k}{}^{\mu}e_{l}{}^{\nu}R^{ij}{}_{\mu\nu},\; C^{i}{}_{jk}=\left(e^{\mu}{}_{j}e^{\nu}{}_{k}-e^{\mu}{}_{k}e^{\nu}{}_{j}\right)D_{\nu}e_{\mu}{}^{i}\left(x\right). \tag{117}$$

With (97) and (108) in hand, the quantity $R^{k}{}_{ijl}$ in (117) can be expressed in terms of its torsion-free $\mathring{R}^{k}{}_{ijl}$ and torsion dependant contributions as [20]

$$R^{k}{}_{ijl}=e^{\lambda}_{l}e^{k}_{\alpha}\left(\mathring{R}^{\alpha}_{ij\lambda}+2\mathring{\nabla}_{[j}T^{\alpha}_{i]\lambda}+2T^{\alpha}_{[j|\beta}T_{|i]\lambda}{}^{\beta}\right), \tag{118}$$

where $\mathring{\nabla}_{\mu}A^{\alpha}:=\partial_{\mu}A^{\alpha}+\mathring{\Gamma}^{\alpha}_{\mu\beta}A^{\beta}$, $\mathring{\nabla}_{\mu}A_{\alpha}:=\partial_{\mu}A_{\alpha}-\mathring{\Gamma}^{\beta}_{\mu\alpha}A_{\beta}$, the square brackets in $T^{\alpha}_{[j|\beta}T_{|i]\lambda}{}^{\beta}$ represents antisymmetrization with respect to ij, β being fixed. As was done for $R^{i}{}_{j\mu\nu}$ using the Jacobi identities for the commutator of covariant derivatives, we find the Bianchi identity in Einstein-Cartan spacetime [22],

$$\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma}D_{\beta}R^{\mu\nu}_{\rho\sigma}=\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma}C_{\beta\rho}{}^{\lambda}R^{\mu\nu}_{\sigma\lambda}. \tag{119}$$

It is interesting to observe the similarity in structure of the curvature tensors in (129) and the first equation in (117). Indeed, there is only one curvature tensor since these two quantities can be transformed into each other via appropriate tetrad index saturation, $R^{i}{}_{jkl}\left(\omega\right)=e^{i}_{\alpha}e^{\gamma}_{j}e^{\rho}_{k}e^{\lambda}_{l}R^{\alpha}{}_{\gamma\rho\lambda}\left(\Gamma\right)$. We can therefore view $R^{\alpha}{}_{\gamma\rho\lambda}\left(\Gamma\right)$ in (129) and $R^{ij}{}_{kl}\left(\omega\right)$ in (117) as holonomic and non-holonomic representations, respectively, of the same spacetime curvature.

The second curvature identity

$$R^{k}{}_{[\rho\sigma\lambda]}=2D_{[\rho}C_{\sigma\lambda]}{}^{k}-4C_{[\rho\sigma}{}^{b}C_{\lambda]b}{}^{k} \tag{120}$$

leads to,

$$\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma}D_{\beta}C_{\rho\sigma}{}^{k}=\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\rho\sigma}R^{k}{}_{j\rho\sigma}e^{j}{}_{\beta}. \tag{121}$$

Notice that if $\Gamma^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu}=e_{i}{}^{\lambda}(x)D_{\nu}e^{i}{}_{\mu}(x)=-e_{\mu}{}^{i}(x)D_{\nu}e^{\lambda}{}_{i}(x)$, then

$$\Gamma^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu}-\Gamma^{\lambda}{}_{\nu\mu}=e_{i}^{\lambda}\left[D_{\nu}e^{i}{}_{\mu}(x)-D_{\mu}e^{i}{}_{\nu}(x)\right]. \tag{122}$$

Contracting (122) with $e_{k}^{\mu}e_{l}^{\nu}$, we obtain [4]

$$C^{a}{}_{kl}=e_{k}{}^{\mu}e_{l}{}^{\nu}e_{\lambda}{}^{a}\left(\Gamma^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu}-\Gamma^{\lambda}{}_{\nu\mu}\right). \tag{123}$$

We therefore conclude that C_{kl}^{a} is related to the antisymmetric part of the affine connection

$$\Gamma^{\lambda}{}_{[\mu\nu]}=e_{\mu}{}^{k}e_{\nu}{}^{l}e_{a}{}^{\lambda}C^{a}{}_{kl}\equiv T^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu}, \tag{124}$$

which is interpreted as spacetime torsion $T^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu}$. Equation (124) establishes a means to transform between the holonomic torsion tensor $T_{\beta\gamma}^{\alpha}$ in (92) and the non-holonomic structure functions $C^{i}{}_{jk}$ in (117) (and vice-versa) in terms of appropriate tetrad index saturation. This situation is entirely analogous to the transformation from $R^{i}{}_{jkl}(\omega)$ to $R^{\alpha}{}_{\gamma\rho\lambda}(\Gamma)$ (and vice-versa) via tetrad index saturation. From (115), the torsion tensor can be viewed as a sort of field strength associated with the tetrad coefficients that describes a twist of the tetrad under parallel transport (relative to a given basis) that is independent of the effect of curvature (i.e., a twist in a plane perpendicular to the plane of parallel transport). This is to be compared with the interpretation of torsion as the asymmetric part of the affine connection. Equation (124) can be solved with the aid of the metricity condition for the spin connection, yielding [23]

$$\omega_{ab\mu}:=\frac{1}{2}\left(\Omega_{cab}+\Omega_{bca}-\Omega_{abc}\right)e^{c}{}_{\mu}+T_{ab\mu}, \tag{125}$$

where

$$\Omega_{cab}:=e_{\nu c}\left[e^{\mu}{}_{a}\partial_{\mu}e^{\nu}{}_{b}(x)-e^{\mu}{}_{b}\partial_{\mu}e^{\nu}{}_{a}(x)\right], \tag{126}$$

are the so-called objects of non-holonomicity. If the integrability conditions $\partial_{[\alpha}e_{\beta]}{}^{i}=0$ are not satisfied, the reference frame formed by e_{i}^{β} and e_{λ}^{i} is said to be non-holonomic. The objects of non-holonomicity measures the non-commutativity of the tetrad basis [18]. The quantities $T_{ab\mu}$ are related to the spacetime torsion tensor $T_{\alpha\beta\mu}$ according to $T_{ab\mu}:=e_{a}{}^{\alpha}e_{b}^{\beta}T_{\alpha\beta\mu}$.

The most general connection in the Poincaré gauge approach to gravitation is given by

$$A_{ab\mu}=\overset{\circ}{\omega}_{ab\mu}-K_{ab\mu}+\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}{}^{\lambda}{}_{\nu\mu}e_{a\lambda}e_{b}{}^{\nu}, \tag{127}$$

where $\overset{\circ}{\omega}_{ab\mu}:=\frac{1}{2}\left(\Omega_{cab}+\Omega_{bca}-\Omega_{abc}\right)e^{c}{}_{\mu}$ is the torsion-free spin connection and

$$K_{abc}:=-\left(T^{\lambda}{}_{\nu\mu}-T_{\nu\mu}{}^{\lambda}+T_{\mu}{}^{\lambda}{}_{\nu}\right)e_{a\lambda}e_{b}{}^{\nu}e_{c}{}^{\mu} \tag{128}$$

is the contortion tensor. Now, the quantity $R_{\sigma\mu\nu}^{\rho}=e_{i}{}^{\rho}R^{i}{}_{\sigma\mu\nu}$ (expressed in holonomic coordinates) may be written as

$$R^{\rho}{}_{\sigma\mu\nu}=\partial_{\nu}\Gamma^{\rho}{}_{\sigma\mu}-\partial_{\mu}\Gamma^{\rho}{}_{\sigma\nu}+\Gamma^{\rho}{}_{\lambda\nu}\Gamma^{\lambda}{}_{\sigma\mu}-\Gamma^{\rho}{}_{\lambda\mu}\Gamma^{\lambda}{}_{\sigma\nu}. \tag{129}$$

Therefore, we can regard $R^{\rho}{}_{\sigma\mu\nu}$ as the curvature tensor with respect the affine connection $\Gamma^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu}$. We remark that $\overset{\circ}{R}{}^{\alpha}{}_{\gamma\rho\lambda}$ in (118) is given by $\overset{\circ}{R}{}^{\alpha}{}_{\gamma\rho\lambda}=R^{\alpha}{}_{\gamma\rho\lambda}\left(\Gamma\rightarrow\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}\right)$. For completeness, we note that the Ricci tensor $R_{\mu\lambda}=R_{\mu\alpha\lambda}{}^{\alpha}$ takes the form

$$R_{\mu\lambda}=\overset{\circ}{R}_{\mu\lambda}+\overset{\circ}{\nabla}_{\alpha}T_{\mu\lambda}{}^{\alpha}-\overset{\circ}{\nabla}_{\mu}T_{\alpha\lambda}{}^{\alpha}+T_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\alpha}T_{\mu\lambda}{}^{\beta}-T_{\mu\beta}{}^{\alpha}T_{\alpha\lambda}{}^{\beta}, \tag{130}$$

where the torsion-free contribution $\overset{\circ}{R}_{\mu\lambda}$ is defined as,

$$\overset{\circ}{R}_{\mu\nu}=\partial_{\gamma}\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}{}_{\mu\nu}^{\gamma}-\partial_{\nu}\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}{}_{\mu\gamma}^{\gamma}+\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}{}_{\mu\nu}^{\gamma}\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}{}_{\gamma n}^{n}-\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}{}_{\mu k}^{\gamma}\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}{}_{\nu\gamma}^{k}. \tag{131}$$

It is not difficult to show that

$$\sqrt{-g}=[\det e^{i}{}_{\mu}]=[\det e_{i}{}^{\mu}]^{-1}, \tag{132}$$

where $g:=\det g_{\mu\nu}$. Hence we may take $\sqrt{-g}$ for the density function $h(x)$.

VII. FIELD EQUATIONS FOR GRAVITATION

The scalar curvature R is obtained from the generalized Ricci tensor (130) as follows,

$$R = R^{\nu}{}_{\nu} = \mathring{R} + \partial_i K_a{}^{ia} - T_a{}^{bc} K_{bc}{}^{a} \tag{133}$$

where $\mathring{R}$ denotes the usual Ricci scalar of general relativity. Using this scalar curvature R, we choose the Lagrangian density for free Einstein-Cartan gravity with cosmological constant

$$\mathcal{L}_G = \frac{1}{2k_0}\sqrt{-g}\left(\mathring{R} + \partial_i K_a{}^{ia} - T_a{}^{bc} K_{bc}{}^{a} - 2\Lambda\right), \tag{134}$$

where $k_0 = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}$ is a gravitational coupling constant, and Λ is the cosmological constant. Observe that the second term is a total divergence and may be ignored. The field equation can be obtained from the total action,

$$S = \int \left\{\mathcal{L}_{\text{field}}(\chi(x),\, \partial_\mu \chi(x),\, e_i{}^{\mu},\, A^{ij}{}_{\mu}) + \mathcal{L}_G\right\} d^4x, \tag{135}$$

where the Lagrangian density for a fermion field $\psi(x)$ in curved spacetime [24, 25] with torsion is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{field}} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\bar{\psi}\gamma^a D_a \psi(x) - \left(D_a \bar{\psi}(x)\right)\gamma^a \psi\right]. \tag{136}$$

Modifying the connection to include spin connection and contortion contributions, the gauge covariant derivative for a spinor and adjoint spinor is then given by,

$$D_\mu \psi(x) = \left\{\partial_\mu + \left[\frac{1}{4} g_{\lambda\sigma}\left(\mathring{\omega}^{\sigma}{}_{\mu\rho} - K^{\sigma}{}_{\rho\mu}\right)\gamma^{\lambda\rho}\right]\right\}\psi(x), \;\; D_\mu \bar{\psi}(x) = \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}(x) - \bar{\psi}(x)\left[\frac{1}{4} g_{\lambda\sigma}\left(\mathring{\omega}^{\sigma}{}_{\mu\rho} - K^{\sigma}{}_{\rho\mu}\right)\gamma^{\lambda\rho}\right]. \tag{137}$$

The variation of the field Lagrangian reads,

$$\delta\mathcal{L}_{\text{field}} = \bar{\psi}\left(\delta\gamma^{\mu} D_\mu + \gamma^{\mu}\delta\Gamma_\mu\right)\psi(x). \tag{138}$$

The field Lagrangian defined in Einstein-Cartan spacetime can be written [18, 22, 23, 26, 27] explicitly in terms of its Lorentzian and contortion components as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{field}} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\mathring{D}_\mu \bar{\psi}(x)\right)\gamma^{\mu}\psi - \bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\mathring{D}_\mu \psi(x)\right] - \frac{\hbar c}{8} K_{\mu\alpha\beta}\bar{\psi}\left\{\gamma^{\mu},\, \gamma^{\alpha\beta}\right\}\psi, \tag{139}$$

with $\mathring{D}_\alpha \psi(x) := \partial_\alpha \psi(x) - \frac{1}{4}\mathring{\omega}_{\alpha ij}\gamma^{ij}\psi(x)$ and $\mathring{D}_\alpha \bar{\psi}(x) := \partial_\alpha \bar{\psi}(x) + \frac{1}{4}\bar{\psi}(x)\mathring{\omega}_{\alpha ij}\gamma^{ij}$. Using the following relations

$$\begin{cases} -\frac{1}{4}K_{\mu\alpha\beta}\bar{\psi}\left\{\gamma^{\mu},\, \gamma^{\alpha\beta}\right\}\psi = \frac{1}{4}K_{\mu\alpha\beta}\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\beta\alpha}\gamma^{\mu}\psi - \frac{1}{4}K_{\mu\alpha\beta}\bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\alpha\beta}\psi, \\ \gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\lambda}\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} = \left\{\gamma^{\mu},\, \gamma^{\nu\lambda}\right\}\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} = 3!\gamma_\sigma\gamma_5, \\ \left\{\gamma^{\mu},\, \gamma^{\nu\lambda}\right\} = \gamma^{[\mu}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\lambda]}, \end{cases} \tag{140}$$

we obtain

$$K_{\mu\alpha\beta}\bar{\psi}\left\{\gamma^{\mu},\, \gamma^{\alpha\beta}\right\}\psi = \frac{1}{2i}K_{\mu\alpha\beta}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}\left(\bar{\psi}\gamma_5\gamma_\nu\psi\right). \tag{141}$$

We define the contortion axial vector

$$K_\nu := \frac{1}{3!}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}K_{\alpha\beta\mu}. \tag{142}$$

Multiplying through by the axial current $j^5_\nu = \bar{\psi}\gamma_5\gamma_\nu\psi$ we obtain,

$$\left(\bar{\psi}\gamma_5\gamma_\nu\psi\right)\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}K_{\mu\alpha\beta} = -6ij^5_\nu K^{\nu}. \tag{143}$$

The interaction between the Dirac field and torsion has been reduced to a coupling of the fermion axial current to a torsion axial-vector K_μ. Thus, the field Lagrangian density in curved spacetime with torsion [26] becomes

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{field}} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\mathring{D}_\mu \bar{\psi}(x)\right)\gamma^{\mu}\psi - \bar{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\mathring{D}_\mu \psi(x)\right] + \frac{3i\hbar c}{8}K_\mu j^{\mu}_5. \tag{144}$$

The total action reads,

$$\delta I = \delta \int \mathcal{L}_G \sqrt{-g} d^4x + \delta \int \mathcal{L}_{\text{field}} \sqrt{-g} d^4x = \int \left(\delta\mathcal{L}_G + \delta\mathcal{L}_{\text{field}}\right) \sqrt{-g} d^4x. \tag{145}$$

In order to obtain the explicit form of the dynamical equations for the fermions we recall that the Dirac γ-matrices are covariantly constant,

$$\nabla_\kappa \gamma_\iota = \partial_\kappa \gamma_\iota - \Gamma^\mu_{\iota\kappa}\gamma_\mu + \left[\gamma_\iota, \hat{\Gamma}_\kappa\right] = 0. \tag{146}$$

The 4×4 matrices $\hat{\Gamma}_\kappa$ are real matrices used to induce similarity transformations on quantities with spinor transformation properties [28], that is $\gamma_i \to \gamma'_i = \hat{\Gamma}^{-1}\gamma_i\hat{\Gamma}$. Solving for $\hat{\Gamma}_\kappa$ leads to,

$$\hat{\Gamma}_\kappa = \frac{1}{8}\left[\left(\partial_\kappa \gamma_\iota\right)\gamma^\iota - \Gamma^\mu{}_{\iota\kappa}\gamma_\mu\gamma^\iota\right]. \tag{147}$$

Variation of $\hat{\Gamma}_\kappa$ gives $\delta\hat{\Gamma}_\kappa = \frac{1}{8}\left[\left(\partial_\kappa \delta\gamma_\iota\right)\gamma^\iota - \left(\delta\Gamma^\mu{}_{\iota\kappa}\right)\gamma_\mu\gamma^\iota\right]$. Since we require the anti-commutator condition on the gamma matrices $\gamma_\mu\gamma_\nu + \gamma_\nu\gamma_\mu = g_{\mu\nu}\mathbf{1}$ (Dirac algebra) to hold, the variation of the metric yields,

$$2\delta g^{\mu\nu} = \{\delta\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} + \{\gamma^\mu, \delta\gamma^\nu\}. \tag{148}$$

One solution to (148) is $\delta\gamma^\nu = \frac{1}{2}\gamma_\sigma\delta\gamma^{\sigma\nu}$. With the aid of this result, we can write $\left(\partial_\kappa\delta\gamma_\iota\right)\gamma^\iota = \frac{1}{2}\partial_\kappa\left(\gamma^\nu\delta g_{\nu\iota}\right)\gamma^\iota$. Finally, exploiting the anti-symmetry in $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$ we obtain

$$\delta\hat{\Gamma}_\kappa = \frac{1}{8}\left[g_{\nu\sigma}\delta\Gamma_{\mu\kappa}{}^\sigma - g_{\mu\sigma}\delta\Gamma_{\nu\kappa}{}^\sigma\right]\gamma^{\mu\nu}. \tag{149}$$

With the above variational relations, it is straightforward to show that the equation of motion obtained from variation of the action with respect to $\bar{\psi}(x)$ is given by [18, 22],

$$\gamma^\mu D_\mu \psi(x) + \frac{3}{8}T_{\mu\nu\sigma}\gamma^{[\mu}\gamma^\nu\gamma^{\sigma]}\psi(x) = 0. \tag{150}$$

It is interesting to observe that this generalized, curved spacetime Dirac equation can be recasted into a nonlinear equation of the Heisenberg-Pauli type [22],

$$\gamma^\mu \overset{\circ}{D}_\mu \psi(x) + \frac{3}{8}\left(\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\gamma_5\psi\right)\gamma_\mu\gamma_5\psi(x) = 0. \tag{151}$$

The following calculations involving the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ and its determinant $g = \det\left(g_{\mu\nu}\right)$ are useful. Recall that $gg^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial g}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}}$ and $gg_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{\partial g}{\partial g^{\mu\nu}}$. Since

$$\delta\sqrt{-g} = \frac{\partial\sqrt{-g}}{\partial g}\delta g = -\frac{\delta g}{2\sqrt{-g}}, \tag{152}$$

where $\frac{\delta g}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} = gg^{\mu\nu}$, we can write

$$\delta g = gg^{\mu\nu}\delta g_{\mu\nu}. \tag{153}$$

Upon substituting (153) into (152), we obtain $\delta\sqrt{-g} = -\frac{gg^{\mu\nu}\delta g_{\mu\nu}}{2\sqrt{-g}}$. However, since $gg^{\mu\nu}\delta g_{\mu\nu} = gg_{\mu\nu}\delta g^{\mu\nu} = \sqrt{-g}\sqrt{-g}g_{\mu\nu}\delta g^{\mu\nu}$, we conclude $\frac{gg^{\mu\nu}\delta g_{\mu\nu}}{\sqrt{-g}} = \sqrt{-g}g_{\mu\nu}\delta g^{\mu\nu}$. Hence,

$$\delta\sqrt{-g} = -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-g}g_{\mu\nu}\delta g^{\mu\nu}. \tag{154}$$

Writing the metric in terms of the tetrads $g^{\mu\nu} = e^\mu_{\ i}e^{\nu i}$, we observe $\delta\sqrt{-g} = -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-g}\left(\delta e^\mu_{\ i}e_\mu^{\ i} + e_{\nu i}\delta e^{\nu i}\right)$. By using $\delta e^{\nu i} = \delta\left(\eta^{ij}e^\nu_{\ j}\right) = \eta^{ij}\delta e^\nu_{\ j}$, we are able to deduce

$$\delta\sqrt{-g} = -\sqrt{-g}e_\mu^{\ i}\delta e_i^{\ \mu}. \tag{155}$$

For the variation of the Ricci tensor $R_{i\nu} = e_i^{\ \mu} R_{\mu\nu}$ we have $\delta \mathring{R}_{i\nu} = \delta e_i^{\ \mu} \mathring{R}_{\mu\nu} + e_i^{\ \mu} \delta \mathring{R}_{\mu\nu}$. In an inertial frame the Ricci tensor reduces to $\mathring{R}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\nu \mathring{\Gamma}^\beta_{\beta\mu} - \partial_\beta \mathring{\Gamma}^\beta_{\nu\mu}$, so that

$$\delta \mathring{R}_{i\nu} = \delta e_i^{\ \mu} \mathring{R}_{\mu\nu} + e_i^{\ \mu} \left(\partial_\nu \delta \mathring{\Gamma}^\beta_{\beta\mu} - \partial_\beta \delta \mathring{\Gamma}^\beta_{\nu\mu} \right). \tag{156}$$

The second term can be converted into a surface term, so it may be ignored. Collecting our results, we have

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \delta g^{\mu\nu} = -g^{\mu\rho} g^{\nu\sigma} \delta g_{\rho\sigma}, \\ \\ \delta\sqrt{-g} = -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-g} g_{\mu\nu} \delta g^{\mu\nu} = -\sqrt{-g} e_\mu^{\ i} \delta e_i^{\ \mu}, \\ \\ \delta R_{\mu\nu} = g_{\rho\mu} \left(\mathring{D}_\lambda \delta \mathring{\Gamma}^{\lambda\rho}{}_\nu - \mathring{D}_\nu \delta \mathring{\Gamma}^{\lambda\rho}{}_\lambda \right) + T_{\lambda\mu}{}^\rho \delta \mathring{\Gamma}^\lambda{}_{\rho\nu}, \ \ \delta \mathring{R}_{i\nu} = \delta e_i^{\ \mu} \mathring{R}_{\mu\nu}, \\ \\ \delta R = \mathring{R}^{\mu\nu} \delta g_{\mu\nu} + g^{\mu\nu} \left(\mathring{D}_\lambda \delta \mathring{\Gamma}^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu} - \mathring{D}_\nu \delta \mathring{\Gamma}^\lambda{}_{\mu\lambda} \right) - T_a{}^{bc} \delta K_{bc}{}^a. \end{array} \right. \tag{157}$$

From the above results we obtain,

$$\delta I_G = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int \left[\begin{array}{c} \left(R_i{}^\mu - \frac{1}{2} e_i{}^\mu R - e_i{}^\mu \Lambda \right) \delta e^i{}_\mu + 2 g^{\rho\lambda} T_{\mu\lambda}{}^\sigma \delta \mathring{\Gamma}^\mu{}_{\rho\sigma} + \\ \\ + g^{\mu\nu} \left(\mathring{D}_\lambda \delta \mathring{\Gamma}^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu} - \mathring{D}_\nu \delta \mathring{\Gamma}^\lambda{}_{\mu\lambda} \right) \end{array} \right] \sqrt{-g} d^4x. \tag{158}$$

The last term in the action can be converted into a surface term, so it may be ignored. Using the four-current v^μ introduced earlier, the action for the matter fields read [28]

$$\begin{aligned} \delta I_{\text{field}} &= \int \left[\bar{\psi}(x) \delta \gamma^\mu \mathring{D}_\mu \psi(x) + \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma^\mu \delta \hat{\Gamma}_\mu \psi(x) \right] \sqrt{-g} d^4x \qquad (159) \\ &= \int \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \left[\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma_i \left(\mathring{D}_\nu \psi(x) \right) + T^\mu{}_{\rho\sigma} T_i{}^{\rho\sigma} - \delta^\mu_i T_{\lambda\rho\sigma} T^{\lambda\rho\sigma} \right] \delta e^i{}_\mu + \\ \\ + \frac{1}{8} \left(g^{\rho\nu} v^\mu - g^{\rho\mu} v^\nu \right) \left(g_{\mu\sigma} \delta \mathring{\Gamma}^\sigma{}_{\nu\rho} - g_{\nu\sigma} \delta \mathring{\Gamma}^\sigma{}_{\mu\rho} \right) \end{array} \right\} \sqrt{-g} d^4x. \end{aligned}$$

Removing the derivatives of variations of the metric appearing in $\delta\Gamma^\sigma{}_{\nu\rho}$ via partial integration, and equating to zero the coefficients of $\delta g^{\mu\nu}$ and $\delta T^\sigma{}_{\nu\rho}$ in the variation of the action integral, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{1}{16\pi} \left(R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R - g_{\mu\nu} \Lambda \right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma_\nu \mathring{D}_\mu \psi(x) - \frac{1}{4} \mathring{D}_\mu v_\nu \right) + \qquad (160) \\ &\quad + \mathring{D}_\sigma T_{\mu\nu}{}^\sigma + T_{\mu\rho\sigma} T_\nu{}^{\rho\sigma} - g_{\mu\nu} T_{\lambda\rho\sigma} T^{\lambda\rho\sigma} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$T_{\rho\sigma\lambda} = k_0 \tau_{\rho\sigma\lambda}, \tag{161}$$

where $k_0 = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}$. Equation (160) has the form of Einstein equations

$$G_{\mu\nu} - g_{\mu\nu} \Lambda = k_0 \Sigma_{\mu\nu}, \tag{162}$$

where the Einstein tensor is given by its standard definition,

$$G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R \tag{163}$$

and $\Sigma_{\mu\nu} = \Theta_{\mu\nu} + \mathfrak{T}_{\mu\nu}$ is the generalized energy-momentum tensor. We identify $\Theta_{\mu\nu}$ as the canonical energy-momentum tensor

$$\Theta^\mu{}_\nu = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_{\text{field}}}{\partial(\mathring{D}_\mu \psi(x))} \mathring{D}_\nu \psi(x) - \delta^\mu{}_\nu \mathcal{L}_{\text{field}}, \tag{164}$$

while $\mathfrak{T}_{\mu\nu}$ is the stress form of the non-Riemannian manifold. For the case of spinor fields being considered here, the explicit form of the energy-momentum components [29] is (after symmetrization of corresponding canonical source terms in the Einstein equation),

$$\Theta_{\mu\nu} = -\left(\bar{\psi}\left(x\right)\gamma_{(\mu}\mathring{D}_{\nu)}\psi\left(x\right) - \mathring{D}_{(\mu}\bar{\psi}\left(x\right)\gamma_{\nu)}\psi\left(x\right)\right) \tag{165}$$

and by use of the second field equation (161), we determine

$$\mathfrak{T}_{\mu\nu} = \mathring{D}_{\sigma}T_{\mu\nu}{}^{\sigma} + T_{\mu\rho\sigma}\tau_{\nu}{}^{\rho\sigma} - g_{\mu\nu}T_{\lambda\rho\sigma}\tau^{\lambda\rho\sigma}, \tag{166}$$

where $\tau_{\mu\nu}{}^{\sigma}$ is the spin angular momentum [18, 22],

$$\tau_{\mu\nu}{}^{\sigma} := \frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_{\text{field}}}{\partial(\mathring{D}_{\sigma}\psi\left(x\right))}\gamma_{\mu\nu}\psi\left(x\right). \tag{167}$$

Explicitly, the spin angular momentum reads $\tau^{\mu\nu\sigma} = \bar{\psi}\gamma^{[\mu}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\sigma]}\psi$.

Although the gravitational field equation is similar in form to the Einstein field equation, it differs from the original Einstein equations because the present curvature tensor, containing spacetime torsion is non-Riemannian. In particular, the Einstein tensor (163) has a non-vanishing asymmetric component and is not divergenceless, i.e., $\mathring{D}_{\nu}G_{\mu}{}^{\nu} \neq 0$ as can readily be verified by use of (119) and (163). Assuming the Euler-Lagrange equations for the matter fields are satisfied, we obtain the following conservation laws for angular momentum and energy-momentum

$$\begin{aligned} \mathring{D}_{\nu}\tau_{ij}{}^{\nu} &= e^{\mu}{}_{i}e^{\nu}{}_{j}\Sigma_{[\mu\nu]}, \\ e_{\mu}{}^{k}\mathring{D}_{\nu}\Sigma^{\nu}{}_{\kappa} &= 2\Sigma^{\nu}{}_{\kappa}T^{k}{}_{\mu\nu} + \tau^{\nu}{}_{ij}R^{ij}{}_{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned} \tag{168}$$

VIII. CONCLUSION

In the present work, we have demonstrated how all the necessary ingredients for a theory of gravitation can be obtained from a gauge theory of local Poincaré symmetry. Gauge fields were obtained by requiring invariance of the Lagrangian density under local Poincaré transformations. The resulting Einstein-Cartan theory describes a spacetime endowed with nonvanishing curvature and torsion. The lowest order gravitational action is one that is linear in the curvature scalar while being quadratic in torsion. Dirac spinors were introduced as matter sources and it was found that they couple to gravity via the torsion stress form $\mathfrak{T}_{\mu\nu}$ as well as through the canonical energy-momentum $\Sigma_{\mu\nu}$ tensor. The stress form contains a torsion divergence term as well as a term similar to an external non-spinor source to gravity. The field equations obtained from the action by means of standard variational calculus describe a nonlinear equation of the Heisenberg-Pauli type in the matter sector, a gravitational field equation similar in form to the Einstein equation as well as a constraint equation relating torsion to the spin energy potential of matter. The Bianchi identities of Einstein-Cartan theory differ from that of general relativity since the Riemann curvature tensor characterizing the non-Riemannian geometry does not exhibit the symmetry properties of the latter. In the limit of vanishing torsion however, the Bianchi identities reduce to their usual form. The conservation laws for angular momentum and energy-momentum were obtained. From the former, it was found that the generalized energy-momentum tensor contains a nonvanishing anti-symmetric component proportional to the divergence of the spin angular–momentum. For the latter, it was found that the generalized energy-momentum tensor is divergenceless only in the limit of vanishing torsion.

---

[1] R. Utiyama, Phys. Rev. **101** (1956) 1597

[2] C. N. Yang and R. L. Mills, Phys. Rev. **96** (1954) 191

[3] D.W. Sciama, "On the analog between charge and spin in general relativity," in *Recent Developments in General Relativity, Festschrift for Leopold Infeld*, (Pergamon Press, New York, 1962) 415

[4] T.W. Kibble Lorentz invariance and the gravitational field, J. Math. Phys. **2** (1960) 212

[5] G. Grignani et *al.* Gravity and the Poincaré group, Phys. Rev. **D 45** (1992) 2719

[6] F. W. Hehl et *al.* Metric-affine gauge theory of gravity: Field equations, Noether identities, world spinors, and breaking of dilation invariance, Phys. Rep. **258** (1995) 1-171

[7] A. Inomata et *al.* General relativity as a limit of the de Sitter gauge theory, Phys. Rev. **D 19** (1978) 1665

[8] E. A. Ivanov and J. Niederle, Gauge formulation of gravitation theories, I. The Poincaré, de Sitter and conformal cases, Phys. Rev. **D 25** (1982) 976

[9] E. A. Ivanov and J. Niederle, Gauge formulation of gravitation theories, II. The special conformal case, Phys. Rev. **D 25** (1982) 988

[10] F. Mansouri et *al.* Gravity as a gauge theory, Phys. Rev. **D 13** (1976) 3192

[11] F. Mansouri, Conformal gravity as a gauge theory, Phys. Rev. Lett. **42** (1979) 1021

[12] G. Sardanashvily, On the geometric foundation of classical gauge gravitation theory, arXiv: gr-qc/0201074

[13] L. N. Chang et *al.* Geometrical approach to local gauge and supergauge invariance: Local gauge theories and supersymmetric strings, Phys. Rev. **D 13** (1976) 235

[14] Yuval Ne'eman, Tullio Regge, Gravity And Supergravity As Gauge Theories On A Group Manifold, Phys. Lett. B **74** (1978) 54.

[15] M. Toller, Classical Field Theory in the Space of Reference Frames, Nuovo Cim. B **44** (1978) 67-98.

[16] G. Cognola, et *al.* Theories Of Gravitation In The Space Of Reference Frames, Nuovo Cim. B **54** (1979) 325-348.

[17] J. P. Crawford, Spinors in General Relativity, appearing in "Clifford (Geometric) Algebras: With Applications in Physics, Mathematics and Engineering", Birkhäuser, Boston (1996) edited by William E. Baylis.

[18] F.W. Hehl et *al.* General relativity with spin and torsion: foundations and prospects, Rev. Mod. Phys. **48** (1976) 393

[19] F. Mansouri, Superunified theories based on the geometry of local (super-) gauge invariance, Phys. Rev. **D 16** (1977) 2456

[20] J. Schouten, *Ricci Calculus* (Berlin: Springer, 1954)

[21] B. S. Dewitt, Dynamical theory of groups and fields (Les Houches Lectures 1963), Relativity, Groups and Topology, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York (1965)

[22] F. W. Hehl et *al.* Nonlinear spinor equation and asymmetric connection in general relativity, J. Math. Phys. **12** (1970) 1334

[23] M. Blagojevic Three Lectures on Poincaré Gauge Theory, arXiv: gr-qc/0302040

[24] R. M. Wald, *Quantum field theory in curved spacetime and black hole thermodynamics*, (The University of Chicago Press 1994)

[25] N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, *Quantum fields in curved space*, (Cambridge University Press 1982)

[26] S. Carroll et *al.* Consequences of propagating torsion in connection dynamic theories of gravity, arXiv: gr-qc/9403058

[27] I. L. Shapiro, Physical aspects of spacetime torsion, arXiv: hep-th/0103093

[28] D. R. Brill et *al.* Interaction of neutrinos and gravitational fields, Rev. Mod. Phys. **29** (1957) 465

[29] J. Schwinger, Energy and momentum density in field theory, Phys. Rev. **130** (1962)